



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,655	11/21/2003	Jonathan H. Fischer	45-14-2	2375
7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			RODRIGUEZ, GLENDA P	
Suite 205 1300 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfield, CT 06824			2651	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/719,655	FISCHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Glenda P. Rodriguez	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4-15,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 3-5 and 16-18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2003.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicati Inity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/719,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 2651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6-15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crue et al. (US Patent No. 6, 693, 768).

Regarding Claim 1, Crue et al. teach a magnetic storage system, comprising:

At least one write coil to generate a magnetic field for at least a plurality of bit intervals (Figs. 2-4, Element 44);

A magnetic storage medium (Fig. 1, Element 16); and

At least one shutter to selectively allow said magnetic field to alter a magnetic domain of said magnetic storage medium (Col. 3, L. 36-42, wherein it teaches using a component as a "shutter" by changing the magnetic fields by changing the direction of the magnetic field to change the binary data to be recorded, which is the Applicant's description of a "shutter" as See in the Applicant's Specification in Page 2, L. 10-14. See Also Col. 7, L. 54 to Col. 8, L. 7).

Method claim (10) is drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claim (1). Therefore method claim (10) corresponds to apparatus claim (1) and is rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Apparatus claim (14) is drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claim (1). Therefore apparatus claim (14) corresponds to apparatus claim (1) and is rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Page 3

Regarding Claims 2 and 15, Crue et al. teach all the limitations of Claims 1 and 14, respectively. Crue et al. further teach wherein having at least one pole segment to provide a loop between the rite coil and the storage medium (See Fig. 13 and Col. 7, L. 54 to Col. 8, L. 7, wherein Crue et al. teaches a loop being formed from the pole to the medium in order to be able to record a binary number.).

Regarding Claims 6, 13 and 19, Crue et al. teach all the limitations of Claims 1, 10 and 14, respectively. Crue et al. further teach wherein a position of said shutter is adjusted using a micro-electro mechanical system (Col. 2, L. 44-57).

Regarding Claims 7 and 20, Crue et al. teach all the limitations of Claims 1 and 14, respectively. Crue et al. further teach wherein at least one of said shutters is coated with a magnetic shielding (Figs. 2-4, Elements 52 & 54).

Regarding Claim 8, Crue et al. teach all the limitations of Claim 7. Crue et al. further teach wherein the magnetic shielding is comprised of Nickel (Col. 6, L. 64 wherein CoFeNi is used.).

Regarding Claim 9, Crue et al. teach all the limitations of Claim 7. Crue et al. further teach wherein the magnetic shielding is comprised of Cobalt (Col. 6, L. 64 wherein CoFeNi is used.).

Regarding Claim 11, Crue et al. teach all the limitations of Claim 1. Crue et al. further teach wherein further comprising the steps of generating a positive magnetic field and a negative

magnetic field, and selectively allowing said positive or negative magnetic fields to alter said magnetic domain of said magnetic storage medium Col. 3, L. 36-42, and See Also Col. 7, L. 54 to Col. 8, L. 7, wherein it teaches recording a binary 0 or 1 according to its direction of the magnetic field, which is positive and negative.).

Regarding Claim 12, Crue et al. teach all the limitations of Claim 11. Crue et al. further teach wherein the positive or negative magnetic fields alter the magnetic domain in a collocated region of said magnetic medium (It is obvious that when recording a plurality of bits in the medium, these can be performed side by side or collocated).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 3-5 and 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
 - 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding Claims 3 and 16, the primary reason for allowable subject matter is the inclusion of the limitation wherein a first write coil to generate a positive magnetic field and the second write coil to generate a negative, and at least two shutters to selectively allow the positive or negative magnetic fields to alter the magnetic domain of the magnetic storage medium.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenda P. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7561. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 7:00-5:00; alternate Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,655

Art Unit: 2651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (571) 272-7843. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aug 16, 2005

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5